

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 4/5/12

----- x
ANVIK CORPORATION, :
 :
 :
 Plaintiff, :
 :
 v. :
 :
 NIKON PRECISION, INC., et al., : Civ. No. 05-7891 (AKH)
 :
 LG.PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD., et al., : Civ. No. 07-0816 (AKH)
 :
 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., et al., : Civ. No. 07-0818 (AKH)
 :
 CHI MEI OPTOELECTRONICS, et al., : Civ. No. 07-0821 (AKH)
 :
 AU OPTRONICS CORP., et al., : Civ. No. 07-0822 (AKH)
 :
 SHARP CORP., et al., : Civ. No. 07-0825 (AKH)
 :
 INNOLUX DISPLAY CORP., : Civ. No. 07-0826 (AKH)
 :
 HANNSTAR DISPLAY CORP., : Civ. No. 07-0827 (AKH)
 :
 AFPD PTE LTD., and : Civ. No. 07-0828 (AKH)
 :
 IPS ALPHA TECHNOLOGY, LTD, et al. : Civ. No. 08-4036 (AKH)
 :
 Defendants. :
----- x

JUDGMENT

Upon the Court's Order of April 4, 2012 (the "Order"), it is hereby ordered that the above-captioned actions are dismissed upon the following terms:

1. Claims 17 and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 4,924,257 are declared invalid for failure to comply with the requirement that "[t]he specification . . . shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention." 35 U.S.C. § 112.

2. Claims 23 and 25 of U.S. Patent 5,285,236 are declared invalid for failure to comply with the requirement that “[t]he specification . . . shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.” 35 U.S.C. § 112.


3. Claim 25 of U.S. Patent 5,291,240 is declared invalid for failure to comply with the requirement that “[t]he specification . . . shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.” 35 U.S.C. § 112.

4. Plaintiff’s Complaint or Amended Complaint, as the case may be, in each of the above-captioned actions is dismissed with prejudice.

5. This is without prejudice to Plaintiff’s right to appeal.

6. Defendants’ Counterclaims, other than those asserting invalidity of U.S. Patents Nos. 4,924,257, 5,285,236, and 5,291,240 in each of the above-captioned actions, are dismissed without prejudice as moot.

April 5, 2012



CLERK OF COURT